

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/712,452	•	11/12/2003	Chang-Woo Ha	4611-030	9296		
22440	7590	08/17/2005		EXAMINER			
		MAN & REISMAN	I PC	LAM, TUAN THIEU			
270 MADIS 8TH FLOO		NUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
NEW YORI		00160601		2816			
					_		

DATE MAILED: 08/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

							
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	***			
Office Action Summary		10/712,452	HA ET AL.				
		xaminer	Art Unit				
		uan T. Lam	2816				
The MAILING DATE of this com Period for Reply	imunication appea	rs on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addres	is			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM - Extensions of time may be available under the proafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this - If the period for reply specified above, the maxin - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxin - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70	MUNICATION. visions of 37 CFR 1.136(a s communication. hirty (30) days, a reply wit num statutory period will a or reply will, by statute, cau onths after the mailing dal	a). In no event, however, may a reply be thin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) datupply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fromuse the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. the mailing date of this community (S.U.S.C. & 133)	nication.			
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <i>04 Augu</i>	ust 2005.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .		tion is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in cond	•		rosecution as to the me	rits is			
closed in accordance with the p	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19 and 22</u> is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn are rejected. e objected to.	from consideration.					
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to the specification is objected to the specific transfer of trans	mber 2003 is/are: objection to the dra uding the correction	wing(s) be held in abeyance. So is required if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a cl a) All b) Some * c) None 1. Certified copies of the price 2. Certified copies of the price 3. Copies of the certified copies of the copies of the certified copies of the price and copies of the price copies of the certified copies of the price copies of the price copies of the price copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the price copies of the certified copies of t	of: ority documents had been documents had been documents had been documents had been documents of the priority that ional Bureau (F	ave been received. ave been received in Applica documents have been receiv PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stag	je			
Attachment(s)							
I) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Diagram Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi	ow (PTO 048)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date			Patent Application (PTO-152))			

DETAILED ACTION

This is a response to the amendment filed 8/4/2005. Claims 1-19 and 22 are pending. The finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn in view of new grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-5, 11, 19 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Khorramabadi et al. (USP 5,532,471). Figure 1 shows a current to voltage transforming circuit comprising a photo detector (100), an amplifier (102-104), an emitter follower (107, 108), an output buffer (109-112), a current detecting limiter unit (115, 116, 117, 118, 105, 106) having an input terminal and an output terminal to output a limiter current when an output current of the amplifier is greater than a predetermined reference value (when output of the amplifier, the voltage at the junction of resistor 103 and transistor 102, is greater than the predetermined reference value (the base-emitter voltage of the transistor 107) all transistors 107, 109 and 111 are on, thus activates the current detecting limiter outputting a limiter current), a feedback resistor (120) coupled between the input of said amplifier and the output of the output buffer as called for in claims 1 and 19.

Regarding claim 3, the input terminal of the current detecting limiter unit is coupled to an output terminal of the output buffer.

Art Unit: 2816

Regarding claims 4 and 22, the output terminal of the current detecting limiter unit is coupled to an input of the amplifier.

Regarding claim 5, the output of the current detecting limiter is coupled to ground via the capacitor 117.

Regarding claim 11, the output terminal of the current detecting limiter unit comprising a first and second sub-output terminals coupled to the amplifier via the gain 118 and to ground via the capacitor 117, respectively.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 3-5, 11, 19 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kimura (USP 6,384,689). Figure 4 of Kimura shows a current to voltage transforming circuit comprising a photo detector (not shown at a terminal IN), an amplifier (3), a source follower (M1, 10, 7), an output buffer (M2, 8, 9), a current detecting limiter unit (11) having an input terminal and an output terminal to output a limiter current when an output current of the amplifier is greater than a predetermined reference value (when output of the amplifier, the voltage at the out terminal of the amplifier 3, is greater than the predetermined reference value (gate-source voltage of the transistor 11, the current detecting limiter outputting a limiter current), a feedback resistor (4) coupled between the input of said amplifier and the output of the output buffer.

The difference seen between Kimura reference and the present invention is that Kimura shows a source follower circuit, e.g., FET technology, instead of a emitter follower circuit, e.g. bipolar technology, as called for in claims 1 and 19. However, it is notoriously well known in the art that bipolar technology provides a faster speed than FET technology. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to replace Kimura's FET technology with bipolar technology for the purpose of increasing the speed of the circuit.

Regarding claim 3, the input terminal of the current detecting limiter unit is coupled to an output terminal of the output buffer.

Regarding claims 4 and 22, the output terminal of the current detecting limiter unit is coupled to an input of the amplifier.

Regarding claim 5, the output of the current detecting limiter is coupled to ground.

Regarding claim 11, the output terminal of the current detecting limiter unit comprising a first and second sub-output terminals coupled to the amplifier via the transistor 11 and to ground via the transistor 12, respectively.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 2, 6-10 and 12-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan T. Lam whose telephone number is 571-272-1744. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday (7:30 am to 6:00pm).

Application/Control Number: 10/712,452

Art Unit: 2816

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, TIMOTHY P. CALLAHAN can be reached on 571-272-1740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

Page 5

Art Unit 2816

8/15/2005